

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

MA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,032	03/16/2004	Jun Okazaki	1035-500	1262
23117	7590 11/13/2006	•	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			MULPURI, SA VITRI	
901 NORTH C ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, IITH FL , VA 22203	OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2812	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/801,032	OKAZAKI, JUN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Savitri Mulpuri	2812			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•		•			
2a)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 August 2006</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[_]	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-12 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	• •					
2) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/04,6/04</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/801,032

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the applicant's election of claims 1-10, filed on 8/31/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-2, 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Uchizaki et al (US 6,646,975).

Uchizaki et al teaches a method of making semiconductor laser device including plurality of semiconductor laser elements "240, 241" on a sub mount "354" comprising the steps of : an emission source forming step of stacking a semiconductor layer "212-217" for laser structure" 240" and "222-227" for laser structure "241" on a single substrate "210" and forming plurality of emission sources; a mounting step of mounting the substrate with the emission sources "250,251" on the sub mount(fig.10- fig.19 and col. 18, lines 40-53, fig. 24 E).

With respect to claims 1, 0 Uchizaki et al teaches cutting all the way into the substrate by reactive ion etching (see fig. 17, fig. 18, 24A-24C").

With respect to claims 2,5,6 Uchizaki et al teaches cutting all the way into the substrate by reactive ion etching, wherein isolation grooves "236" in layer structure are

Application/Control Number: 10/801,032

Art Unit: 2812

defined by a specific crystal face of semiconductor material of the semiconductor layer structure. (see fig. 17, fig. 18, 24A-24C").

With respect to claims 7-8 Uchizaki et al teaches sub mount is made of ceramic such as AIN, which can be insulator (col. 18, lines 44-45)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okazaki et al in combination with Tsutsui et al (US 6,197,609)

Okazaki et al do not teach forming isolation grooves at greater interval than the substrate and not tapering towards the substrate. Tsutsui et al teaches forming isolation grooves at greater interval than the substrate and not tapering towards the substrate(see fig.1 and abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use tapering towards substrate to improve the light emitting devices.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art teaches method of making laser structures..

Application/Control Number: 10/801,032 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Savitri Mulpuri whose telephone number is 571-272-1677. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8 a.m. to 4.30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt, can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Savitfi Mulpuri Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812